Nasogastric tubes in the Community

Rachael Marsden

Consultant Nurse MND

Oxford Care and Research Centre

Case study

- Ellie
- Age 58
- Married.
- 3 grown up children
- Bulbar on set MND
- Diagnosed 18/09/2023
- Strong limb function
- Very poor bulbar function



Admission to Neuro Ward

- Admitted to ward pre-PEG.
- Very weak
- Not eaten for 4 days
- No fluids for 3 days
- Constipated
- Bloods
- IV fluids
- NG tube placed

- Discussion about wishes and ceilings of care.
- Husband worried about managing a feeding tube.
- He was stressed and not coping well

Complications

- PEG placement tried and failed due to a high riding stomach
- CT Scan suggested RIG may be possible
- RIG placement failed
- Discussed options



Going home with an NG tube - not an option

- Community staff not taking responsibility
- Need a Risk assessment
- Care plan

- Shared care protocols in Oxfordshire
- NG feeds level 5!

- Family would normally be asked if they would care for the tube and do the feeds.
- Ellie felt that this was not an option
- Her husband would not be able to manage the tube.
- Children not local

- Conversation about how she will die if she can't eat.
- Talked about palliative care



One last try!

Surgical PEG was successful
We have never done this before



National Patient Safety Agency (2019)

misplaced naso or orogastric tube not detected prior to use

3 million NG tubes placed in the UK in 2019

- 200,000 incidence recorded
- 20 were Never Events

• A Never Event is....

wholly preventable where guidance or safety recommendations that provide strong systemic protective barrier are available at a national level and should have been implemented by all healthcare providers.'

National Patient Safety Agency (NPSA) Sept 2005 – March 2010

Checking method where error occurred	Number of incidents reported	Number of deaths
X-ray misinterpretation	45	12
Fed despite aspirate tested pH 6-8 *	7	2
Fed after apparently obtaining pH 1 – 5.5	9	1
Water instilled down nasogastric tube before testing pH *	2	0
Not checked at all *	9	1
Apparent migration after initially correct placement (e.g. after suction)	8	1
No information obtained on checking method used *	17	4
Placed under endoscopic guidance	1	0
Visual appearance of aspirate *	1	0
Bubble test *	1	0
Totals	100	21

pH checking & documentation

- pH testing is used as the first line test method,
- pH between 1 and 5.5 is the safe range,
- Each test and test result should be documented on a chart kept at the patient's bedside

- Documentation following pH testing should include:
- whether aspirate was obtained
- what the aspirate pH was
- who checked the aspirate pH
- when it was confirmed to be safe to administer feed and/or medication (i.e. gastric pH between 1 and 5.5)

What are the risks of an NG tube in the community?

"not common but has its place if managed correctly. It can be a means to providing nutrition in the community"

- Most incidents occurred in hospital
- X-rays mis read
- Not tested correctly

Best C (2013)Nasogastric feeding in the Community: Safe and effective practice. BJCN

National Patient Safety Agency (2009)

Can NG tubes be used in the community?

 A full multidisciplinary supported risk assessment should be made and documented, before a patient with a nasogastric tube is discharged from acute care to the community

What are the potential Risks?

Risks and Care plan.

- Tube misplaced
- Tube falling out
- Sore around nose
- Not having supplies
- Using the wrong syringe
- Misinterpreting pH
- Not doing pH
- Using litmus paper

Training –

- Who will care for it?
- What happens if it falls out
- Use of suction machine

5 steps of creating a risk assessment?

The Health and Safety Executive's Five steps to risk assessment.

- Step 1: Identify the hazards.
- Step 2: Decide who might be harmed and how.
- Step 3: Evaluate the risks and decide on precautions.
- Step 4: Record your findings and implement them.
- Step 5: Review your risk assessment and update if. necessary.

Hazard	People Affected	Level of risk High Medium Low	Existing Measures	Additional Measures

References

National Patient Safety Agency: Reducing harm caused by the misplacement of nasogastric feeding tubes; Patient Safety Alert 05; Feb. 05. Available online at: www.nrls.npsa.nhs.uk/resources/?EntryId45=59794 2. National Patient Safety Agency.

Misplaced naso or orogastric tube not detected prior to use. Available online at: www.nrls.npsa.nhs.uk/resources/collections/never-events/corelist/misplaced-naso-or-orogastric-tube-not-detected-prior-to-use/ 3. National Patient Safety Agency: Never Events Annual Report 2009-2010. Available online at: www.nrls.npsa.nhs.uk/resources/collections/never-events/?entryid45=83319 4.

Medicines and Healthcare products Regualtory Agency. Medical Device Alert: Medical devices in general and non-medical products (MDA/2010/001). Available online at: www.mhra.gov.uk/Publications/Safetywarnings/MedicalDeviceAlerts/CON065771